

Uniqueness and persistence of minimal Lagrangian submanifolds

Jason D. Lotay* and Tommaso Pacini†

April 27, 2017

Abstract

We prove that, in a negative Kähler–Einstein manifold M , compact minimal Lagrangian submanifolds L are locally unique and for any small Kähler–Einstein perturbation of M there corresponds a deformation of L which is minimal Lagrangian with respect to the new structure. This provides a new source of examples of minimal Lagrangians. Our results are a simple application of the J -volume functional discussed in [4] and [5].

1 Introduction

Let $(M, \overline{g})$ be a Riemannian manifold. Recall that a submanifold $\iota : L \rightarrow M$ is *minimal* if it is a critical point of the Riemannian volume functional, *i.e.* if the mean curvature vector field H vanishes.

Now let $(M, \overline{\omega})$ be a symplectic manifold. One says that a submanifold is *Lagrangian* if the pull-back of the symplectic form $\iota^*\overline{\omega}$ vanishes.

It should be expected that, whenever $\overline{g}, \overline{\omega}$ are somehow compatible, these two conditions will interact so that submanifolds which are both minimal and Lagrangian will have significant geometric properties.

The standard setting for this to happen is that of Kähler manifolds $(M, J, \overline{g}, \overline{\omega})$. Here, minimal Lagrangian submanifolds are a classical topic and several results are known.

The theory is however particularly rich in the stronger setting of Kähler–Einstein (KE) manifolds. In this case the main classical facts known about them are the following.

- The Lagrangian condition is preserved under mean curvature flow.
- In KE manifolds which are Ricci-flat (more precisely, Calabi–Yau manifolds), minimal Lagrangians are calibrated. Hence, they automatically have the stronger property of minimizing volume in their homology class. In this setting there exists a very good deformation theory for minimal Lagrangians.

*University College London, U.K., j.lotay@ucl.ac.uk

†University of Torino, Italy, tommaso.pacini@unito.it

- In KE manifolds with negative Ricci curvature, minimal Lagrangians are strictly stable: the second variation of the Riemannian volume functional is strictly negative at a minimal Lagrangian.

In [4] and [5] we initiated a new point of view on minimal Lagrangians, inserting them into the broader context of totally real submanifolds. In particular, building upon previous work in [1] and [6], we achieved the following.

- We related the standard Riemannian volume functional and the corresponding mean curvature vector field H to a modified J -volume functional and to a corresponding J -mean curvature vector field H_J : these objects coincide on Lagrangians, but the latter have better properties on the larger class of totally real submanifolds, cf. [4].
- We defined new geometric flows extending the study of Lagrangian mean curvature flow in KE manifolds to more general ambient manifolds and to totally real submanifolds, cf. [4].
- In Kähler manifolds with non-positive Ricci curvature, we proved that the J -volume functional is convex with respect to a certain notion of geodesics in the space of totally real submanifolds, cf. [5].
- We outlined an analogy between minimal Lagrangian geometry in negative KE manifolds and the geometry of Kähler metrics with constant scalar curvature, in terms of complexified diffeomorphism groups, convex functionals and moment maps, cf. [5].

The goal of the paper at hand is more down-to-earth. We show that these same tools can also be used to address concrete, basic questions regarding the geometry of minimal Lagrangians in what seems to be, following [5], the most interesting setting: negative KE manifolds.

The first of these questions concerns uniqueness. The strict stability of the standard volume functional certainly leads one to believe that compact minimal Lagrangians should be isolated, and indeed it is possible to argue that this is true based on a detailed analysis of H , as in [7]. The proof we give here, cf. Theorem 5.2, is much simpler.

The second question concerns the persistence of compact minimal Lagrangians under perturbations of the ambient KE structure. Up to now this question was largely open, being known to hold only in the special case of negative KE surfaces [3]. The techniques used there however are specific to that dimension and cannot be generalised. Our Theorem 5.4 proves persistence in all dimensions, again via a simple proof based on our theory described above.

In simple terms, the reason why this method works is the following.

- The standard volume functional has the desirable property that its critical points are described by the elliptic operator H . However, it does not see the additional geometry of totally real submanifolds, so it is ill-equipped to deal with the Lagrangian condition.
- The J -volume is specifically designed to take into account the totally real condition. However, the critical points satisfy the equation $H_J = 0$, which is *not* elliptic.

- In the negative KE setting, the critical points of the J -volume are exactly the minimal Lagrangian submanifolds: contrary to the standard volume, the J -volume allows us to tackle the minimal and Lagrangian conditions simultaneously. In this setting we manage to obtain very good control over H_J , cf. Section 4 and Proposition 5.1; this provides the key tool in our proofs.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 summarizes the geometry of totally real submanifolds from the perspective of [4]. Section 3 introduces a new point of view on those results in terms of the Grassmannian bundle of totally real planes. Section 4 is the technical core of the paper. Our main results are presented in Section 5 and applied to examples in Section 6.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Claude LeBrun for suggesting the problem of persistence of minimal Lagrangians to us, André Neves for informing us of the reference [7], and Simon Donaldson, Nicos Kapouleas and Cristiano Spotti for interesting discussions.

JDL was partially supported by EPSRC grant EP/K010980/1. TP thanks the Scuola Normale Superiore, in Pisa, for hospitality and research funds.

2 Geometry of totally real submanifolds

Let M be a smooth $2n$ -dimensional manifold endowed with an almost complex structure J and Riemannian metric $\bar{g}$ which is compatible with J in the sense that J is a $\bar{g}$ -isometry. Let $\bar{\omega} := \bar{g}(J\cdot, \cdot)$ be the usual induced 2-form on M ; then $h := \bar{g} - i\bar{\omega}$ is a Hermitian structure on M .

Recall that M is *almost Kähler* if $\bar{\omega}$ is closed, thus M is symplectic; in this case it is natural to work with the *Chern connection* $\tilde{\nabla}$, with torsion tensor $\tilde{T}$. Moreover, M is Kähler if $\bar{\omega}$ is closed and J is integrable; in this case the Chern connection coincides with the Levi-Civita connection $\bar{\nabla}$ of $\bar{g}$, which is torsion-free.

It follows from Chern–Weil theory that the first Chern class can be represented in terms of curvature. Specifically, for $X, Y \in T_p M$ we set

$$\tilde{P}(X, Y) := \bar{\omega}(\tilde{R}(X, Y)\bar{e}_j, \bar{e}_j), \quad (1)$$

where $\tilde{R}$ is the curvature of $\tilde{\nabla}$ and $\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_{2n}$ is an orthonormal basis for $T_p M$. Then $\tilde{P}$ is a closed 2-form on M , $\frac{1}{2}\tilde{P}$ is the curvature of K_M and

$$2\pi c_1(M) = \left[\frac{1}{2}\tilde{P} \right].$$

In the Kähler case, defining the Ricci form $\bar{\rho}(\cdot, \cdot) := \overline{\text{Ric}}(J\cdot, \cdot)$, one finds

$$\frac{1}{2}\tilde{P}(X, Y) = \bar{\rho}(X, Y). \quad (2)$$

Let L be a smooth compact oriented n -dimensional manifold. An immersion $\iota : L \rightarrow M$ is *totally real* if the tangent spaces $\iota_*(T_p L)$ contain no complex lines, which is equivalent to $\iota_*(T_p L) \cap J\iota_*(T_p L) = \{0\}$ for all

$p \in L$. We will often identify L with its image in M . With this notation one then gets a splitting

$$T_p M = T_p L \oplus J(T_p L) \quad (3)$$

with projections $\pi_L : T_p M \rightarrow T_p L$ and $\pi_J : T_p M \rightarrow J(T_p L)$. It is elementary to see as in [4, Lemma 2.2] that

$$J \circ \pi_L = \pi_J \circ J \quad \text{and} \quad J \circ \pi_J = \pi_L \circ J. \quad (4)$$

Together with the orientability assumption on L , the splitting (3) implies that the pull-back bundle $K_M[\iota] := \iota^* K_M$ over L is trivial. In [4] we use the Hermitian structure h to define a *canonical section* $\Omega_L[\iota]$ of $K_M[\iota]$: if $e_1, \dots, e_n$ is an orthonormal basis for $T_p L$, we set

$$\Omega_L[\iota]_p := \frac{(e_1^* - iJ e_1^*) \wedge \dots \wedge (e_n^* - iJ e_n^*)}{|(e_1^* - iJ e_1^*) \wedge \dots \wedge (e_n^* - iJ e_n^*)|_h}. \quad (5)$$

We then let $\text{vol}_J[\iota] := \iota^*(\Omega_L[\iota])$. This defines a volume form on L , which necessarily coincides with the standard Riemannian volume form up to multiplication by a function: specifically, setting $h_{ij} = h(e_i, e_j)$ and

$$\rho_J := |e_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_n^*|_h = (\det_{\mathbb{C}} h_{ij})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (6)$$

one finds

$$\text{vol}_J[\iota] = \rho_J \text{vol}_g. \quad (7)$$

We call the corresponding functional $\text{Vol}_J(\iota) := \int_L \text{vol}_J[\iota]$ the *J-volume*.

One should think of vol_J as a modified volume form which takes into account the totally real condition. In particular, it is only well-defined on totally real submanifolds and it coincides with the Riemannian volume form exactly when ι is Lagrangian, *i.e.* when $\iota^* \bar{\omega} = 0$.

In general, the volume and J -volume functionals on totally real immersions will have different critical points. However, in [4] we show that if M is almost Kähler and ι is Lagrangian then the standard volume and the J -volume agree to first order, *i.e.* the corresponding gradients coincide.

Let H_J denote the *J-mean curvature* vector field, *i.e.* the gradient of the J -volume (up to certain torsion terms which vanish in the Kähler case). In [4] we also show that H_J is closely related to an *a priori* different object, as follows.

We define the *Maslov form* to be the connection 1-form corresponding to Ω_L , namely

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \Omega_L[\iota] = -i \xi_J[\iota](X) \Omega_L[\iota]. \quad (8)$$

As such, ξ_J is a 1-form on L ; it has the property $\xi_J[\iota \circ \phi] = \phi^*(\xi_J[\iota])$ under orientation-preserving reparametrisations $\phi \in \text{Diff}(L)$. In [4] we provide the alternative formula

$$\xi_J[\iota](X) = \bar{g}(J\pi_J \tilde{\nabla}_X e_j, e_j) \quad (9)$$

and show that

$$d\xi_J[\iota] = \frac{1}{2} \iota^* \tilde{P}. \quad (10)$$

In the Kähler setting it turns out that $H_J = J\iota_*(\xi_J^\#)$, i.e. H_J and ξ_J coincide up to identifications. In general, we show that H_J and ξ_J agree up to lower order terms determined by the torsion of the Chern connection.

Finally, in [4] we show that if M is Kähler–Einstein with *non-zero* scalar curvature then the only critical points of the J -volume are the minimal Lagrangian submanifolds. We summarize this discussion as follows.

Proposition 2.1 *Let M be a Kähler–Einstein manifold with non-zero scalar curvature. Let $\iota : L \rightarrow M$ be a totally real immersion. Then ι is minimal Lagrangian if and only if $\xi_J[\iota] = 0$.*

3 The totally real Grassmannian

In order to facilitate computations we now fit the discussion of the geometry of totally real submanifolds from Section 2 into a slightly different context.

Consider the Grassmannian $TR^+(M)$ of oriented totally real n -planes in TM . For any given plane $\pi \in TR^+(M)$ through $p \in M$, the splitting $T_p M = \pi \oplus J(\pi)$ defines projections which for simplicity we will continue to denote by π_L and π_J .

Using the projection $q : TR^+(M) \rightarrow M$ we can pull back the bundle K_M together with its Hermitian metric and connection $\tilde{\nabla}$. The corresponding curvature of this connection is then the pull-back tensor $q^*\frac{1}{2}\tilde{P}$. We thus obtain a complex line bundle over $TR^+(M)$ which, by the same construction as before, admits a canonical section Ω . Specifically, given a totally real plane $\pi \in TR^+(M)$ and a positive orthonormal basis $e_1, \dots, e_n$ for π , we define $\Omega(\pi)$ as in (5). We can then define a 1-form Ξ on $TR^+(M)$ via the analogue of (8), i.e.

$$\tilde{\nabla} \Omega = -i\Xi \otimes \Omega, \quad (11)$$

so that $d\Xi = q^*\left(\frac{1}{2}\tilde{P}\right)$. If $\hat{Z}, \hat{W}$ are vector fields on $TR^+(M)$ with projections $Z := q_*(\hat{Z}), W := q_*(\hat{W})$ in TM , a standard formula for the differential of a 1-form then shows that

$$\hat{Z}(\Xi(\hat{W})) - \hat{W}(\Xi(\hat{Z})) - \Xi([\hat{Z}, \hat{W}]) = d\Xi(\hat{Z}, \hat{W}) = q^*\left(\frac{1}{2}\tilde{P}(Z, W)\right). \quad (12)$$

We now exhibit an alternative formula for Ξ , analogous to (9).

Proposition 3.1 *Choose a point $p \in M$, a plane $\pi \in TR^+(M)$ through p and a vector $\hat{Z} \in T_\pi(TR^+(M))$. Let $Z := q_*(\hat{Z}) \in T_p M$. Then*

$$v \in \pi \mapsto J\pi_J \tilde{\nabla}_Z v \in \pi$$

is a well-defined endomorphism of π and

$$\Xi(\hat{Z}) = \text{tr}_{\bar{g}}(J\pi_J \tilde{\nabla}_Z) = \bar{g}(J\pi_J \tilde{\nabla}_Z e_j, e_j), \quad (13)$$

where $e_1, \dots, e_n$ is an orthonormal basis for π .

Proof: The presence of the projection π_J implies that $J\pi_J \tilde{\nabla}_Z \cdot$ is tensorial when restricted to π , so it indeed defines an endomorphism of π and it makes sense to take its trace. As above,

$$\nu := (e_1^* - iJe_1^*) \wedge \dots \wedge (e_n^* - iJe_n^*) \quad (14)$$

is a globally well-defined section of q^*K_M ; its length induces the (globally defined) function on $TR^+(M)$

$$h(\nu, \nu) = |(e_1^* - iJe_1^*) \wedge \dots \wedge (e_n^* - iJe_n^*)|_h^2.$$

By definition of the pull-back connection,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{\hat{Z}} \nu &= \sum_{j=1}^n (e_1^* - iJe_1^*) \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\nabla}_Z (e_j^* - iJe_j^*) \wedge \dots \wedge (e_n^* - iJe_n^*) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (e_1^* - iJe_1^*) \wedge \dots \wedge (\tilde{\nabla}_Z e_j^* - iJ \tilde{\nabla}_Z e_j^*) \wedge \dots \wedge (e_n^* - iJe_n^*), \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that $\tilde{\nabla}$ commutes with J . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_Z e_j^* - iJ \tilde{\nabla}_Z e_j^* &= \pi_L \tilde{\nabla}_Z e_j^* - iJ \pi_L \tilde{\nabla}_Z e_j^* + \pi_J \tilde{\nabla}_Z e_j^* - iJ \pi_J \tilde{\nabla}_Z e_j^* \\ &= \bar{g}(\pi_L \tilde{\nabla}_Z e_j^*, e_k^*)(e_k^* - iJe_k^*) + \bar{g}(\pi_J \tilde{\nabla}_Z e_j^*, Je_k^*)(Je_k^* + ie_k^*) \\ &= (\bar{g}(\pi_L \tilde{\nabla}_Z e_j^*, e_k^*) - i\bar{g}(J\pi_J \tilde{\nabla}_Z e_j^*, e_k^*))(e_k^* - iJe_k^*), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\hat{Z}} \nu = (\bar{g}(\pi_L \tilde{\nabla}_Z e_j^*, e_j^*) - i\bar{g}(J\pi_J \tilde{\nabla}_Z e_j^*, e_j^*))\nu. \quad (15)$$

Since $\tilde{\nabla}$ commutes with h , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{\hat{Z}} |\nu|_h^{-1} &= -\frac{|\nu|_h^{-3}}{2} \tilde{\nabla}_{\hat{Z}} h(\nu, \nu) \\ &= -|\nu|_h^{-3} \operatorname{Re}(h(\tilde{\nabla}_{\hat{Z}} \nu, \nu)) \\ &= -|\nu|_h^{-3} \bar{g}(\pi_L \tilde{\nabla}_Z e_j^*, e_j^*) h(\nu, \nu) \\ &= -\bar{g}(\pi_L \tilde{\nabla}_Z e_j^*, e_j^*) |\nu|_h^{-1} \\ &= -\bar{g}(\pi_L \tilde{\nabla}_Z e_j, e_j) |\nu|_h^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Since $\Omega = |\nu|_h^{-1} \nu$, we can use (15) and (16) to calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{\hat{Z}} \Omega &= -i\Xi(\hat{Z})\Omega \\ &= (\tilde{\nabla}_{\hat{Z}} |\nu|_h^{-1})\nu + |\nu|_h^{-1} \tilde{\nabla}_{\hat{Z}} \nu \\ &= -\bar{g}(\pi_L \tilde{\nabla}_Z e_j, e_j)\Omega + (\bar{g}(\pi_L \tilde{\nabla}_Z e_j, e_j) - i\bar{g}(J\pi_J \tilde{\nabla}_Z e_j, e_j))\Omega \\ &= -i\bar{g}(J\pi_J \tilde{\nabla}_Z e_j, e_j)\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

which is our desired result. $\square$

We can relate Ξ and Ω to the corresponding data on totally real submanifolds as follows. Let $\iota : L \rightarrow M$ be totally real. Let

$$\hat{\iota} : L \rightarrow TR^+(M), \quad p \mapsto \iota_*(T_p L)$$

denote the Gauss map of ι , so that $\iota = q \circ \hat{\iota}$. Then $\Omega_L[\iota] = \Omega \circ \hat{\iota}$ and $\xi_J[\iota] = \hat{\iota}^*\Xi$.

Remark 3.2 Notice that $\hat{\iota}$ is of first order with respect to ι . Likewise, given a curve of immersions ι_t and the vector field $Z := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\iota$, the lifted vector field $\hat{Z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{\iota}$ is of first order with respect to Z .

4 Linearisation of the Maslov map

Fix an initial totally real immersion $\bar{\iota} : L \rightarrow M$ into an almost Kähler manifold M . Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the space of totally real immersions ι of L in M isotopic to $\bar{\iota}$. Formally, this is an infinite-dimensional manifold with tangent space $T_\iota\mathcal{P} = \Lambda^0(\iota^*TM)$. The term T_pL in the splitting (3) corresponds to the infinitesimal action determined by reparametrisation. Thus, $\mathcal{P}$ can be viewed as a $\text{Diff}(L)$ -principal fibre bundle over the quotient space $\mathcal{T}$ of non-parametrised totally real submanifolds in M isotopic to $\bar{\iota}(L)$.

Consider the *Maslov map* from $\mathcal{P}$ to the 1-forms $\Lambda^1(L)$ on L ,

$$\xi_J : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \Lambda^1(L) \quad (17)$$

given by $\iota \mapsto \xi_J[\iota]$. The goal of this section is to calculate its differential.

Let $D\xi_{J|\iota} : T_\iota\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \Lambda^1(L)$ denote the differential of the Maslov map at the point $\iota \in \mathcal{P}$ and let Z denote a vector in $T_\iota\mathcal{P}$. As seen above we can view Z as a section of $\iota^*(TM)$, *i.e.* as a vector field on M defined along the submanifold $\iota(L)$. Let ι_t be a curve of totally real immersions with $\iota_0 = \iota$ such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\iota|_{t=0} = Z$. By definition

$$D\xi_{J|\iota}(Z) = \frac{d}{dt}(\xi_J[\iota_t])|_{t=0}, \quad (18)$$

where at each point $p \in L$ we are differentiating the curve $\xi_J[\iota_t]|_p$ in the fixed vector space T_p^*L .

Using the notation of Section 3 we can calculate the right-hand side of (18) by lifting it into $TR^+(M)$:

$$\begin{aligned} D\xi_{J|\iota}(Z) &= \frac{d}{dt}(\iota_t^*\Xi)|_{t=0} \\ &= \hat{\iota}^*(\mathcal{L}_{\hat{Z}}\Xi) \\ &= \hat{\iota}^*(d(\hat{Z} \lrcorner \Xi) + \hat{Z} \lrcorner d\Xi) \\ &= \hat{\iota}^*d(\Xi(\hat{Z})) + \frac{1}{2}(q \circ \hat{\iota})^*\tilde{P}(Z, \cdot). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Notice that using the splitting (3) of TM we can write $Z = X + JY$, where $X, Y \in \Lambda^0(TL)$. As usual this relies on identifications: more precisely, $Z = \iota_*(X) + J\iota_*(Y)$.

If the transverse component JY of Z vanishes, *i.e.* $Z = X$, then by commuting $\hat{\iota}^*$ and d we see that (19) gives

$$D\xi_{J|\iota}(X) = d(\xi_J[\iota](X)) + \frac{1}{2}\iota^*\tilde{P}(X, \cdot). \quad (20)$$

We could have alternatively found this formula directly from (18) by noticing that X is “vertical” with respect to the $\text{Diff}(L)$ -action on $\mathcal{P}$: if we integrate X to a flow ϕ_t on L and set $\iota_t := \iota \circ \phi_t$ then, using the fact that $\xi_J[\iota_t] = \phi_t^*(\xi_J[\iota])$ and (10), we obtain (20).

In particular, if $\xi_J[\iota] = 0$ then $d\xi_J[\iota] = \frac{1}{2}\iota^*\tilde{P} = 0$ so $D\xi_{J|\iota}(X) = 0$: this is a manifestation of the $\text{Diff}(L)$ -invariance of the condition $\xi_J = 0$.

We now consider the case $Z = JY$.

Lemma 4.1 *Let X, Y be tangent vector fields on a totally real submanifold $\iota : L \rightarrow M$ in an almost Kähler manifold M . Let $\iota_t : L \rightarrow M$ be a family of totally real immersions such that $\iota_0 = \iota$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\iota_t|_{t=0} = JY$. Then*

$$D\xi_{J|\iota}(JY)(X) = -\tilde{\nabla}_X \left(\frac{\text{div}(\rho_J Y)}{\rho_J} - \bar{g}(\pi_L \tilde{T}(Y, e_j), e_j) \right) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{P}(JY, X).$$

When M is Kähler we have:

$$D\xi_{J|\iota}(JY)(X) = -\bar{\nabla}_X \left(\frac{\text{div}(\rho_J Y)}{\rho_J} \right) - \overline{\text{Ric}}(X, Y).$$

Proof: Let $Z = JY$. From (13) we know that, at $p \in L$, $\Xi(\hat{Z}) = g(J\pi_J \tilde{\nabla}_{JY} e_j, e_j)$ where $e_1, \dots, e_n$ is an orthonormal basis for $T_p L$.

Let v_j for $j = 1, \dots, n$ be any smooth local vector fields on L extending the vectors e_j and set $w_j = \iota_* (v_j)$. Then $[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, v_j] = 0$ locally on $L \times \mathbb{R}$, so at $t = 0$ we have $[JY, w_j] = \iota_* [\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, v_j] = 0$. Then, using (4) and the fact that $\tilde{T}(JY, w_j) = -J\tilde{T}(Y, w_j)$ for the Chern connection (see, for example, [4, Section 4.3]), we have at $t = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}(J\pi_J \tilde{\nabla}_{JY} w_j, w_j) &= \bar{g}(J\pi_J (\tilde{\nabla}_{w_j} JY + \tilde{T}(JY, w_j)), w_j) \\ &= \bar{g}(J\pi_J J \tilde{\nabla}_{w_j} Y, w_j) - \bar{g}(J\pi_J J \tilde{T}(Y, w_j), w_j) \\ &= -\bar{g}(\pi_L \tilde{\nabla}_{w_j} Y, w_j) + \bar{g}(\pi_L \tilde{T}(Y, w_j), w_j). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Evaluating (21) at p and using calculations in [5, Proposition 5.3] we find

$$\Xi(\hat{Z}) = -\frac{\text{div}(\rho_J Y)}{\rho_J} + \bar{g}(\pi_L \tilde{T}(Y, e_j), e_j). \quad (22)$$

We emphasize that both sides of (22) are of first order with respect to Y , cf. Remark 3.2. The first result follows from (19).

In the Kähler case, $\tilde{\nabla} = \bar{\nabla}$ so the torsion term vanishes and using (2) we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\tilde{P}(JY, X) = \overline{\text{Ric}}(J(JY), X) = -\overline{\text{Ric}}(Y, X) = -\overline{\text{Ric}}(X, Y),$$

completing the proof. $\square$

In particular we have proved the following.

Proposition 4.2 *Assume M is Kähler, $\iota : L \rightarrow M$ is totally real and $\xi_J[\iota] = 0$. The linearisation of the Maslov map (17) at ι is given by*

$$D\xi_{J|\iota}(X + JY) = d(\rho_J^{-1} d^*(\rho_J Y^\flat)) - \iota^* \overline{\text{Ric}}(Y, \cdot)$$

for $X, Y \in \Lambda^0(TL)$. In particular $\{X \in \Lambda^0(TL)\} \subseteq \ker(D\xi_{J|\iota})$.

4.1 Algebraic properties of the linearisation

Since we can identify vector fields and 1-forms on L using the metric $\iota^* \bar{g}$, we can rephrase Proposition 4.2 in terms of the operator $\mathcal{L} : \Lambda^1(L) \rightarrow \Lambda^1(L)$ given by

$$\mathcal{L} : \alpha \mapsto d(\rho_J^{-1} d^*(\rho_J \alpha)) - \iota^* \overline{\text{Ric}}(\alpha^\sharp, \cdot). \quad (23)$$

We want to view $\mathcal{L}$ as a self-adjoint operator on 1-forms as follows. We define a weighted L^2 inner product on 1-forms using vol_J :

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_J = \int_L \rho_J \alpha \wedge * \beta.$$

This is a positive definite inner product since $\rho_J > 0$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle d(\rho_J^{-1} d^*(\rho_J \alpha)), \beta \rangle_J \\ &= \int_L d(\rho_J^{-1} d^*(\rho_J \alpha)) \wedge * \rho_J \beta \\ &= \int_L d(\rho_J^{-1} d^*(\rho_J \alpha) \wedge * \rho_J \beta) - \int_L \rho_J^{-1} d^*(\rho_J \alpha) \wedge d(* \rho_J \beta) \\ &= \int_L * d * (\rho_J \alpha) \wedge \rho_J^{-1} d(* \rho_J \beta) \\ &= - \int_L d * (\rho_J \alpha) \wedge \rho_J^{-1} d^*(\rho_J \beta) \\ &= - \int_L d(*(\rho_J \alpha) \wedge \rho_J^{-1} d^*(\rho_J \beta)) + (-1)^{n-1} \int_L \rho_J * \alpha \wedge d(\rho_J^{-1} d^*(\rho_J \beta)) \\ &= \int_L \rho_J d(\rho_J^{-1} d^*(\rho_J \beta)) \wedge * \alpha \\ &= \langle \alpha, d(\rho_J^{-1} d^*(\rho_J \beta)) \rangle_J. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

We deduce that

$$\langle \mathcal{L}(\alpha), \beta \rangle_J = \langle \alpha, \mathcal{L}(\beta) \rangle_J,$$

and so $\mathcal{L}$ is self-adjoint.

By (24), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle d(\rho_J^{-1} d^*(\rho_J \alpha)), \alpha \rangle_J &= \int_L \rho_J^{-\frac{1}{2}} * d * (\rho_J \alpha) \wedge \rho_J^{-\frac{1}{2}} d(* \rho_J \alpha) \\ &= \left\| \frac{d^*(\rho_J \alpha)}{\sqrt{\rho_J}} \right\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which means that $\alpha \mapsto d(\rho_J^{-1} d^*(\rho_J \alpha))$ is a non-negative operator.

In particular, if $\iota^* \overline{\text{Ric}} < 0$ then $\mathcal{L}$ is injective. Indeed, if $\mathcal{L}(\alpha) = 0$ then

$$0 = \langle \mathcal{L}(\alpha), \alpha \rangle_J = \left\| \frac{d^*(\rho_J \alpha)}{\sqrt{\rho_J}} \right\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_L \overline{\text{Ric}}(\alpha^\sharp, \alpha^\sharp) \text{vol}_J,$$

so $\alpha = 0$. We can use this to strengthen the conclusion of Proposition 4.2. For example, if M is Kähler with negative Ricci curvature then the kernel of the linearized Maslov map is exactly the space $\{X \in \Lambda^0(TL)\}$.

4.2 A second proof of the linearisation formula

Let M be a Kähler manifold. As mentioned in Section 2, the Maslov form $\xi_J[\iota]$ is closely related to the J -mean curvature vector field $H_J[\iota]$, defined as the gradient (with respect to the appropriate metric) of the J -volume functional

$$\text{Vol}_J : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

at $\iota \in \mathcal{P}$. We can use this fact to give a second proof of Proposition 4.2. The basic idea is to study, as in [5], the second variation of the J -volume.

Recall that $\text{vol}_J = \rho_J \text{vol}_g$ and observe that if Z is any vector field along $\iota(L)$ then, by [5, Propositions 5.3-5.4], we have

$$\bar{g}(\pi_L \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} Z, e_i) = \frac{\text{div}(\rho_J \pi_L Z)}{\rho_J} - \bar{g}(\pi_J Z, H_J), \quad (25)$$

where $e_1, \dots, e_n$ define an orthonormal basis for $\iota(T_p L)$ for $p \in L$. Our aim is to compute how H_J varies as we vary the immersion ι .

Let $\iota_t : L \rightarrow M$ be a smooth family of totally real immersions such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iota_t = J(\iota_t)_* Y$ for a t -dependent vector field $Y = Y(t)$ on L . We usually simplify notation and write $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iota_t = JY$. Then, from the first variation formula in [5, Propositions 5.3-5.4] and using [4, Proposition 4.4], we find

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \text{vol}_J[\iota_t] = -\bar{g}(JY, H_J[\iota_t]) \text{vol}_J[\iota_t] = \xi_J[\iota_t](Y) \text{vol}_J[\iota_t]. \quad (26)$$

We now observe that it will suffice, for our purposes, to compute the component of the derivative of H_J which points in the $J(\iota_*(TL))$ direction. Indeed, suppose that Z is a vector field on $\iota(L)$ which lies in $J(\iota_*(TL))^\perp$. We can then find a smooth extension $Z = Z(t)$ on $\iota_t(L)$ so that $Z(t) \in (J(\iota_t)_*(TL))^\perp$ for all t . It follows that

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bar{g}(Z, H_J[\iota_t])|_{t=0} = \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{\partial t} Z|_{t=0}, H_J[\iota]) + \bar{g}(Z, \bar{\nabla}_{\partial t} H_J[\iota_t]|_{t=0}) \quad (27)$$

Hence, if $H_J[\iota] = 0$ we see that the variation of H_J is purely in the $J(\iota_*(TL))$ direction.

In [5, Proposition 5.5] we computed the general second variation formula for a two-parameter family of totally real immersions $\iota(s, t) : L \rightarrow M$. We are now interested in two special cases.

In the first case we assume that both variations occur in the J direction, *i.e.* we assume $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \iota_{s,t} = J(\iota_{s,t})_* X$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iota_{s,t} = J(\iota_{s,t})_* Y$, where $X = X(s, t)$ and $Y = Y(s, t)$ are vector fields on L . Then [5, Proposition 5.5], together with the fact that

$$\bar{g}(\pi_L \bar{R}(JX, e_i) JY, e_i) = \bar{g}(\pi_J \bar{R}(X, e_i) Y, e_i), \quad (28)$$

shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} \text{vol}_J[\iota_{s,t}] \\ &= \left(\bar{g}(\pi_L \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} X, e_j) \bar{g}(\pi_L \bar{\nabla}_{e_j} Y, e_i) - \bar{g}(\pi_L J \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} X, e_j) \bar{g}(\pi_L J \bar{\nabla}_{e_j} Y, e_i) \right. \\ & \quad + \bar{g}(JX, H_J) \bar{g}(JY, H_J) + \bar{g}(\pi_J \bar{R}(X, J e_i) Y, J e_i) \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\text{div}(\rho_J \pi_L \bar{\nabla}_{JX} JY)}{\rho_J} - \bar{g}(\pi_J \bar{\nabla}_{JX} JY, H_J) \right) \text{vol}_J[\iota_{s,t}], \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where the last two terms arise from using (25).

Expression (29) originates from the second variation of the function ρ_J . We want to compare it to the expression for the second variation of vol_J computed using (26),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} \text{vol}_J[\iota_{s,t}] &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\bar{g}(JY, H_J) \text{vol}_J[\iota_{s,t}] \right) \\ &= -\left(\bar{g}(J\bar{\nabla}_{JX}Y, H_J) + \bar{g}(JY, \bar{\nabla}_{JX}H_J) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \bar{g}(JX, H_J)\bar{g}(JY, H_J) \right) \text{vol}_J[\iota_{s,t}], \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

in order to obtain our desired formula for the derivative of H_J . To this end let us apply [5, Proposition 5.5] to the special case in which both variations are tangential, *i.e.* $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\iota_{s,t} = (\iota_{s,t})_*X$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\iota_{s,t} = (\iota_{s,t})_*Y$ with $X = X(s, t)$ and $Y = Y(s, t)$. Then, again using (25), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} \text{vol}_J[\iota_{s,t}] &= \left(\bar{g}(\pi_L J\bar{\nabla}_{e_i}X, e_j)\bar{g}(\pi_L J\bar{\nabla}_{e_j}Y, e_i) \right. \\ &\quad - \bar{g}(\pi_L \bar{\nabla}_{e_i}X, e_j)\bar{g}(\pi_L \bar{\nabla}_{e_j}Y, e_i) \\ &\quad + \frac{\text{div}(\rho_J X) \text{div}(\rho_J Y)}{\rho_J^2} \\ &\quad + \bar{g}(\pi_L \bar{R}(X, e_i)Y, e_i) + \frac{\text{div}(\rho_J \pi_L \bar{\nabla}_X Y)}{\rho_J} \\ &\quad \left. - \bar{g}(\pi_J \bar{\nabla}_X Y, H_J[\iota_{s,t}]) \right) \text{vol}_J[\iota_{s,t}] \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

$$= \frac{\text{div}(X \text{div}(\rho_J Y))}{\rho_J} \text{vol}_J[\iota_{s,t}], \quad (32)$$

where the last equality follows from [5, Corollary 5.6].

Setting $s = t = 0$ and re-arranging the terms in the equality between (31) and (32), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{g}(\pi_L \bar{\nabla}_{e_i}X, e_j)\bar{g}(\pi_L \bar{\nabla}_{e_j}Y, e_i) - \bar{g}(\pi_L J\bar{\nabla}_{e_i}X, e_j)\bar{g}(\pi_L J\bar{\nabla}_{e_j}Y, e_i) \\ &= \frac{\text{div}(\rho_J X) \text{div}(\rho_J Y)}{\rho_J^2} + \bar{g}(\pi_L \bar{R}(X, e_i)Y, e_i) + \frac{\text{div}(\rho_J \pi_L \bar{\nabla}_X Y)}{\rho_J} \\ &\quad - \bar{g}(\pi_J \bar{\nabla}_X Y, H_J) - \frac{\text{div}(X \text{div}(\rho_J Y))}{\rho_J}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

By equating (29) and (30) and using the identity (33), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{g}(JY, \bar{\nabla}_{JX}H_J) \\ &= -\bar{g}(J\bar{\nabla}_{JX}Y, H_J) + \bar{g}(\pi_J \bar{\nabla}_{JX}JY, H_J) - \frac{\text{div}(\rho_J \pi_L \bar{\nabla}_{JX}JY)}{\rho_J} \\ &\quad + \bar{g}(JX, H_J)\bar{g}(JY, H_J) - \bar{g}(JX, H_J)\bar{g}(JY, H_J) \\ &\quad - \frac{\text{div}(\rho_J X) \text{div}(\rho_J Y)}{\rho_J^2} - \frac{\text{div}(\rho_J \pi_L \bar{\nabla}_X Y)}{\rho_J} \\ &\quad + \bar{g}(\pi_J (\bar{\nabla}_X Y), H_J) + \frac{\text{div}(X \text{div}(\rho_J Y))}{\rho_J} \\ &\quad - \bar{g}(\pi_L \bar{R}(X, e_i)Y, e_i) - \bar{g}(\pi_J \bar{R}(X, Je_i)Y, Je_i) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\bar{g}(\pi_L \bar{\nabla}_{JX} JY, H_J) - \frac{\operatorname{div}(\rho_J \pi_L \bar{\nabla}_{JX} JY)}{\rho_J} \\
&\quad - \frac{\operatorname{div}(\rho_J X) \operatorname{div}(\rho_J Y)}{\rho_J^2} - \frac{\operatorname{div}(\rho_J \pi_L \bar{\nabla}_X Y)}{\rho_J} \\
&\quad + \bar{g}(\pi_J \bar{\nabla}_X Y, H_J) + \frac{\operatorname{div}(X \operatorname{div}(\rho_J Y))}{\rho_J} + \overline{\operatorname{Ric}}(X, Y). \tag{34}
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that we can rewrite

$$\frac{\operatorname{div}(\rho_J X) \operatorname{div}(\rho_J Y)}{\rho_J} = \operatorname{div}(\rho_J Y \frac{\operatorname{div}(\rho_J X)}{\rho_J}) - \rho_J \bar{g}(JY, J\nabla \frac{\operatorname{div}(\rho_J X)}{\rho_J}). \tag{35}$$

Moreover, remembering that $\operatorname{vol}_J = \rho_J \operatorname{vol}_g$, we see that integrating any terms of the form $\rho_J^{-1} \operatorname{div}(W)$ over L with respect to vol_J will give zero.

Thus, if we assume that the initial immersion ι satisfies $H_J = 0$, then it follows from (34) and (35) that

$$\int_L \bar{g}(JY, \bar{\nabla}_{JX} H_J) \operatorname{vol}_J = \int_L \bar{g}(JY, J\nabla \frac{\operatorname{div}(\rho_J X)}{\rho_J}) + \overline{\operatorname{Ric}}(JY, JX) \operatorname{vol}_J. \tag{36}$$

We can rewrite (36) as

$$\int_L \bar{g}(Y, \nabla \frac{\operatorname{div}(\rho_J X)}{\rho_J} + J\bar{\nabla}_{JX} H_J) + \overline{\operatorname{Ric}}(Y, X) \operatorname{vol}_J = 0. \tag{37}$$

Recall that we showed that there is no component of $\bar{\nabla}_{JX} H_J$ in the direction orthogonal to $J\iota_*(TL)$ when $H_J = 0$ and thus $J\bar{\nabla}_{JX} H_J$ is tangential to $\iota(L)$. Hence, since Y is arbitrary, we can choose

$$Y = \nabla \frac{\operatorname{div}(\rho_J X)}{\rho_J} + \iota^* \overline{\operatorname{Ric}}(X, \cdot)^\sharp + J\bar{\nabla}_{JX} H_J \tag{38}$$

in (37) to deduce that $\int_L \bar{g}(Y, Y) \operatorname{vol}_J = 0$ and so $Y = 0$. We conclude from $Y = 0$ in (38) that the derivative of H_J in the JX direction at ι is given by

$$DH_{J|\iota}(JX) = J\nabla \frac{\operatorname{div}(\rho_J X)}{\rho_J} + J\iota^* \overline{\operatorname{Ric}}(X, \cdot)^\sharp. \tag{39}$$

We see that (39) agrees with the formula in Proposition 4.2, after identifying $J\iota_* \Lambda^0(TL)$ with $\Lambda^1(L)$.

Notice that although we used integration to remove certain divergence terms in (34) via (35), we know by comparing (39) and (34) when $H_J = 0$ that these terms must in fact vanish pointwise. Moreover, as we have computed the derivative of the Maslov map in Proposition 4.1, which is valid even when $\xi_J \neq 0$, we see that the terms we removed from (34) by setting $H_J = 0$ must in fact vanish even when $H_J \neq 0$.

5 Lagrangians in negative KE manifolds

In this section we prove our main results: the local uniqueness and persistence of minimal Lagrangians in negative Kähler–Einstein manifolds. An

important tool in the proofs is the Lagrangian neighbourhood theorem, valid for any compact Lagrangian $\iota : L \rightarrow M$ in a symplectic manifold, which we now briefly review.

Recall that T^*L admits a standard symplectic structure. The Lagrangian neighbourhood theorem then states that there is a C^1 -open neighbourhood V of the zero section in T^*L , a tubular neighbourhood T of L in M and a symplectomorphism $\Phi : V \rightarrow T$ which is the identity on L and has the following property. Let $\alpha \in \Lambda^1(L)$ be such that $\text{Graph}(\alpha) \subseteq V$ and let $\iota_\alpha := \Phi \circ \alpha : L \rightarrow M$ denote the corresponding immersion. Then Φ is constructed so that $\iota_\alpha : L \rightarrow M$ is Lagrangian if and only if $d\alpha = 0$. Notice, in particular, that this result allows us to “gauge-fix” the space of Lagrangian immersions, *i.e.* to locally eliminate the role of the reparametrization group $\text{Diff}(L)$, by providing a canonical parametrization of nearby Lagrangian submanifolds.

Let us apply the Lagrangian neighbourhood theorem to the case where M is Kähler–Einstein with $\overline{\text{Ric}} = \lambda \overline{g}$ (equivalently, $\overline{\rho} = \lambda \overline{\omega}$) for $\lambda < 0$ and ι is minimal Lagrangian, *i.e.* $\xi_J[\iota] = 0$.

For any $k \geq 0$ and $a \in (0, 1)$ let

$$\mathcal{Z}^{k+2,a} = \{\alpha \in C^{k+2,a}(T^*L) : d\alpha = 0\} \quad (40)$$

denote the closed 1-forms on L in $C^{k+2,a}$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the subset of forms in $\mathcal{Z}^{k+2,a}$ whose graph lies in V : these forms parametrize the Lagrangians near $\iota(L)$ which have the corresponding degree of smoothness.

Consider the map

$$F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{k,a}, \quad F(\alpha) = \xi_J[\iota_\alpha]. \quad (41)$$

This map is well-defined between these spaces because ξ_J is second order in α , and each form $F(\alpha)$ is closed since

$$d\xi_J[\iota_\alpha] = \iota_\alpha^* \overline{\rho} = \lambda \iota_\alpha^* \overline{\omega} = 0, \quad (42)$$

where we use the fact that ι_α is Lagrangian as $d\alpha = 0$.

The linearisation $DF|_0 = \mathcal{L}$ is given in (23): since 0 corresponds to the initial Lagrangian ι , $\rho_J = 1$ and thus $DF|_0 = dd^* - \lambda$.

Proposition 5.1 *Let $\iota : L \rightarrow M$ be a compact minimal Lagrangian in a negative Kähler–Einstein manifold M . Let $\mathcal{Z}^{k,a}$ be the closed 1-forms on L in $C^{k,a}$ and let $\mathcal{L}$ be as given in (23). Then $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{Z}^{k+2,a} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{k,a}$ is an isomorphism between Banach spaces.*

Proof: Since $\mathcal{L} = dd^* - \lambda : \mathcal{Z}^{k+2,a} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{k,a}$ is manifestly a bounded operator and we have shown it is injective in §4.1, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{L}$ is surjective and has a bounded inverse.

Let $\eta \in \mathcal{Z}^{k,a}$. We want to find a (necessarily unique) $\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}^{k+2,a}$ with

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha) = (dd^* - \lambda)\alpha = \eta \quad (43)$$

and

$$\|\alpha\|_{C^{k+2,a}} \leq C(\mathcal{L}) \|\mathcal{L}(\alpha)\|_{C^{k,a}} = C(\mathcal{L}) \|\eta\|_{C^{k,a}} \quad (44)$$

for some constant $C(\mathcal{L})$ independent of η (and therefore α).

On closed 1-forms we have

$$\mathcal{L} = dd^* - \lambda = dd^* + d^*d - \lambda = \Delta - \lambda.$$

Recall that Δ is elliptic on the space of 1-forms and that, when $\lambda < 0$,

$$\Delta - \lambda : C^{k+2,\alpha}(T^*L) \rightarrow C^{k,\alpha}(T^*L)$$

is an isomorphism, so the analogue of (44) holds.

Since d commutes with Δ , clearly $(\Delta - \lambda)(\mathcal{Z}^{k+2,\alpha}) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}^{k,\alpha}$. Furthermore, if $d((\Delta - \lambda)(\alpha)) = 0$ then $(\Delta - \lambda)(d\alpha) = 0$ so, by injectivity, $d\alpha = 0$. This shows that $(\Delta - \lambda)(\mathcal{Z}^{k+2,\alpha}) = \mathcal{Z}^{k,\alpha}$. The result follows by restriction. $\square$

5.1 Uniqueness

Given Proposition 5.1, it is now straightforward to prove the following.

Theorem 5.2 *Let M be a negative Kähler-Einstein manifold and let $\iota : L \rightarrow M$ be a compact minimal Lagrangian. Then ι is locally unique, in the sense that there exists a tubular neighbourhood of $\iota(L)$ in M containing no other minimal Lagrangians.*

Proof: Any nearby minimal Lagrangian $\iota' : L \rightarrow M$ would be parametrised by a 1-form $\alpha' \in \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}^{k+2,\alpha}$. By definition one would have $F(\alpha') = \xi_J[\iota'] = 0$. However, Proposition 5.1 shows that $DF|_0$ is an isomorphism, so the Inverse Function Theorem for maps between Banach spaces shows that 0, corresponding to the immersion ι , is locally the only zero of F . $\square$

Remark 5.3 Notice that the second variation of the volume at a minimal Lagrangian L is computed in [2, Equation (4.6)], showing that L is strictly stable for the volume functional so there are no Jacobi fields on L . Hence, it follows from [7, Theorem 2.1] that a minimal Lagrangian L is locally unique even in the space of all minimal submanifolds (not necessarily Lagrangian). This is a stronger statement than our Theorem 5.2, but the proof is more technical because the analogue of Proposition 5.1 fails for the mean curvature operator H , *i.e.* the standard volume functional Vol_g is analytically less well-behaved than the functional Vol_J in this case.

5.2 Persistence

Given a Lagrangian L in a symplectic manifold $(M, \bar{\omega})$ and a curve $\bar{\omega}_t$ of perturbed symplectic structures on M , there are obstructions in general to finding a corresponding curve of Lagrangian deformations of L .

However, let $(M, J, \bar{g}, \bar{\omega})$ be a negative Kähler-Einstein (KE) manifold and let $\iota : L \rightarrow M$ be a compact minimal Lagrangian. Let $(J_t, \bar{g}_t, \bar{\omega}_t)$ be a curve of negative Kähler-Einstein structures on M which coincides with our original structure when $t = 0$. Since $c_1(M)$ is an integral cohomology class, it does not change with t . This implies that the Ricci 2-forms $\bar{\rho}_t$ of $\bar{\omega}_t$ are cohomologous, and by the KE condition the same is true

for $\bar{\omega}_t$: here the assumption that the Einstein constant is non-zero is crucial. It follows from Moser's theorem that the symplectic forms $\bar{\omega}_t$ are all equivalent: there exists a family of diffeomorphisms $\phi_t \in \text{Diff}(M)$ with $\phi_0 = \text{id}$ such that $\bar{\omega}_t = \phi_t^* \bar{\omega}$. We can thus define a curve of immersions

$$\iota_t := \phi_t \circ \iota : L \rightarrow M$$

with $\iota_0 = \iota$ such that ι_t is Lagrangian with respect to $\bar{\omega}_t$.

We may now apply the Lagrangian neighbourhood theorem as above to $\iota_t : L \rightarrow M$ for each t . Choosing $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, we obtain an open neighbourhood V of L in T^*L and, for all $t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, a tubular neighbourhood T_t of $\iota_t(L)$ in M and a symplectomorphism $\Phi_t : V \rightarrow (M, \bar{\omega}_t)$ so that the following holds. If $\alpha \in \Lambda^1(L)$ is such that $\text{Graph}(\alpha) \subseteq V$ then the immersion $\iota_{\alpha,t} := \Phi_t \circ \alpha : L \rightarrow (M, \bar{\omega}_t)$ is Lagrangian if and only if $d\alpha = 0$.

Defining $\mathcal{U}$ to be the subset of $\mathcal{Z}^{k+2,a}$ in (40) whose elements have graphs contained in V as before, we obtain a map

$$F : \mathcal{U} \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{k,a}, \quad F(\alpha, t) = \xi_{J_t}[\iota_{\alpha,t}]. \quad (45)$$

We see that this is well-defined as a map between the spaces claimed just as for the map in (41) and that $F(\alpha, t) = 0$ if and only if $\iota_{\alpha,t} : L \rightarrow (M, \bar{\omega}_t)$ is a $C^{k+2,\alpha}$ minimal Lagrangian. By elliptic regularity this coincides with the space of smooth minimal Lagrangians. We also observe that, when restricted to $\mathcal{U} \times \{0\}$, the map in (45) coincides with (41). We can thus prove the following.

Theorem 5.4 *Let $(M, J, \bar{g}, \bar{\omega})$ be a negative Kähler–Einstein manifold and let $\iota : L \rightarrow M$ be a compact minimal Lagrangian. For any small Kähler–Einstein deformation $(J', \bar{g}', \bar{\omega}')$ of the ambient structure there exists a unique compact minimal Lagrangian $\iota' : L \rightarrow (M, J', \bar{g}', \bar{\omega}')$ near ι .*

In other words, minimal Lagrangians persist under small KE perturbations of negative KE structures.

Proof: Consider the linearisation of (45) at $(0, 0)$:

$$DF|_{(0,0)} : \mathcal{Z}^{k+2,\alpha} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{k,\alpha}.$$

Restricting to $\mathcal{Z}^{k+2,\alpha} \times \{0\}$ we obtain the map discussed in Proposition 5.1, so the full linearisation is surjective and its kernel is isomorphic, under projection, to $\mathbb{R}$. Applying the Implicit Function Theorem shows that, for any small t , there is a unique solution to the equation $F(\alpha, t) = 0$. $\square$

Remark 5.5 As in Remark 5.3 it follows from [7, Theorem 2.1] that L persists as a minimal submanifold under arbitrary small perturbations of the metric $\bar{g}$. However those methods do not show that, under KE perturbations, these deformed submanifolds are Lagrangian with respect to the new Kähler forms. The analogue of Theorem 5.4 in the special case $n = 2$ was proved in [3] but the techniques used there apply only to that dimension. Once again, this indicates the usefulness of the Vol_J functional, which controls both the minimal and the Lagrangian conditions simultaneously.

Remark 5.6 We can, in fact, see that minimal Lagrangians should persist even at the linear level. Suppose, for simplicity, that our ambient manifold is Kähler–Einstein with $\bar{\rho} = -\bar{\omega}$ and we rescale our curve of KE structures so that $\bar{\rho}_t = -\bar{\omega}_t$ for all t . We may then define $F(\alpha, t) = \xi_{J_t}[\iota_{\alpha, t}]$ for 1-forms α on L with $\text{Graph}(\alpha) \subseteq V$ as in (45), except that we do not restrict to closed 1-forms α . We can then see from Proposition 4.2 that

$$DF|_{(0,0)}(\alpha, t) = dd^*\alpha + \alpha + t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \xi_{J_t}[\iota_{\alpha, t}]|_{t=0}. \quad (46)$$

Let us look for solutions to $F(\alpha, t) = 0$. To first order, we want (α, t) to solve $DF|_{(0,0)}(\alpha, t) = 0$. Taking d of (46) we see that we need

$$d\alpha + t d \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \xi_{J_t}[\iota_{\alpha, t}]|_{t=0} = 0. \quad (47)$$

We now observe that

$$\begin{aligned} d \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \xi_{J_t}[\iota_{\alpha, t}]|_{t=0} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} d \xi_{J_t}[\iota_{\alpha, t}]|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iota_{\alpha, t}^* \bar{\rho}_t|_{t=0} \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iota_{\alpha, t}^* \bar{\omega}_t|_{t=0}. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Our construction using the Lagrangian neighbourhood theorem ensures that, for all α and t :

$$\iota_{\alpha, t}^* \bar{\omega}_t = -d\alpha. \quad (49)$$

Using the identity (49) in (48) we deduce that

$$d \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \xi_{J_t}[\iota_{\alpha, t}]|_{t=0} = 0. \quad (50)$$

Combining (47) and (50) we see that we require $d\alpha = 0$. Hence, at the linear level, we should indeed expect L to stay Lagrangian.

6 Examples

Let p be a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d > n + 1$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ such that

$$M = \{[z] \in \mathbb{CP}^n : p(z) = 0\}$$

is smooth. Let J be the induced complex structure. The adjunction formula shows that the class $c_1(M, J)$ is negative, so the general existence theory of KE metrics proves that (M, J) has a unique KE 2-form $\bar{\omega}$ in the class $-c_1(M, J)$. The corresponding metric $\bar{g}$ has negative scalar curvature.

If the coefficients of the polynomial p are real, M is invariant under complex conjugation on $\mathbb{CP}^n$: this defines by restriction a “real structure” $\tau \in \text{Diff}(M)$, *i.e.* an anti-holomorphic involution: $\tau^* J = -J$. The 2-form $\tau^* \bar{\omega}$ is then KE for $(M, \tau^* J)$. Clearly $-\bar{\omega}$ has the same property, so by uniqueness $\tau^* \bar{\omega} = -\bar{\omega}$. It follows that τ is an isometry of the metric $\bar{g}$.

Using the above facts, one can check that the fixed point set L of τ (if non-empty), often called the “real locus”, is a totally geodesic (thus minimal) Lagrangian submanifold of the KE manifold $(M, \bar{g}, J, \bar{\omega})$. This is one of the main methods for finding examples of minimal Lagrangians, but it clearly relies on special additional properties of M .

In this setting the corresponding moduli space of KE manifolds can locally be constructed simply by perturbing the polynomial p . Using Theorem 5.4 we can show that there exists a minimal Lagrangian in each such manifold even if it does not admit a real structure.

Corollary 6.1 *Let p be a homogeneous polynomial on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, of degree $d > n + 1$ and with real coefficients, such that*

$$M = \{[z] \in \mathbb{CP}^n : p(z) = 0\}$$

is a smooth submanifold with non-empty real locus. Let $(\widetilde{M}, J) \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^n$ be defined by a polynomial $\tilde{p}$ obtained by perturbing p . We endow $\widetilde{M}$ with the corresponding negative Kähler–Einstein metric.

There exists $\epsilon > 0$ so that if the imaginary parts of the coefficients of $\tilde{p}$ are smaller than ϵ then there exists a locally unique compact minimal Lagrangian submanifold L in $\widetilde{M}$. It is isotopic to the real locus of M .

A second way to obtain examples of minimal Lagrangians is, somewhat trivially, via products. The deformation theory of complex manifolds shows that the only deformations of products of negative KE manifolds are those obtained by deforming each factor. Our uniqueness result Theorem 5.2 yields a similar result for minimal Lagrangians.

Corollary 6.2 *Let $\iota_1 : L_1 \rightarrow M_1$ and $\iota_2 : L_2 \rightarrow M_2$ be compact minimal Lagrangians in negative Kähler–Einstein manifolds with the same scalar curvature. Let $\widetilde{M}_1, \widetilde{M}_2$ be KE deformations of M_1, M_2 with the same scalar curvature. Then the only minimal Lagrangian submanifold in $\widetilde{M}_1 \times \widetilde{M}_2$ near $\iota_1 \times \iota_2$ is of the form $\widetilde{\iota}_1 \times \widetilde{\iota}_2$, where $\widetilde{\iota}_i$ is the minimal Lagrangian in $\widetilde{M}_i$ obtained by deforming ι_i .*

References

- [1] V. Borrelli, *Maslov form and J-volume of totally real immersions*, J. Geom. Phys. **25** (1998), 271–290.
- [2] B.-Y. Chen, *Geometry of submanifolds and its applications*, Science University of Tokyo, Tokyo, 1981.
- [3] Y.-I. Lee, *The deformation of Lagrangian minimal surfaces in Kähler–Einstein surfaces*, J. Differential Geom. **50** (1998), 299–330.
- [4] J.D. Lotay and T. Pacini, *From Lagrangian to totally real geometry: coupled flows and calibrations*, 2016, arXiv:1404.4227v2.
- [5] J.D. Lotay and T. Pacini, *Complexified diffeomorphism groups, totally real submanifolds and Kähler–Einstein geometry*, 2016, arXiv:1506.04630v2.
- [6] K. Smoczyk, *The Lagrangian mean curvature flow*, Habilitation thesis, Leipzig, 2001.

- [7] B. White, *The space of minimal submanifolds for varying Riemannian metrics*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **40** (1991), 161–200.